

From: no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au on behalf of [Planning Portal - Department of Planning and Environment](#)
To: [DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy
Date: Friday, 11 September 2020 4:10:48 PM

Submitted on Fri, 11/09/2020 - 16:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Beverley

Last name

Blanch

Council name

Sydney

Council email

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Pyrmont

Submission file

{Empty}

Submission

PYRMONT AFFORDS THE BEST LIFE STYLE WITHIN THE SYDNEY METROPOLITAN AREA. LET US NOT SPOIL IT. WORK/LIFE/HEALTH OPPORTUNITIES ABOUND IN THIS SUBURB. IT IS ALREADY THE HIGHEST POPULATED SUBURB IN SYDNEY.

I AM VEHEMENTLY OPPOSED TO ANY EXTENSION OF THE CASINO. IT IS A BLIGHT ON THE LANDSCAPE. IT IS AN EXISTING CRIME SPOT AND IT ATTRACTS UNDESIRABLES, OPPORTUNISTS TO THIS PRECINCT. NSW GOVT SHOULD NOT ENCOURAGE GAMBLING IN THE FIRST PLACE BECAUSE OF THE DEVASTATING EFFECTS SUCH AS DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND POVERTY. NO EXTENSION OF THE CASINO. PRESERVATION OF OUR GREEN SPACE AND GREEN INITIATIVES. THAT IS WHAT THE FUTURE OF THIS VERY SPECIAL AREA SHOULD INCORPORATE. OUR COMMUNITY HAS ALREADY WON ROUND 1 OF THE PROPOSAL TO LIMIT ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CASINO. WHY IS IT BACK ON THE AGENDA. IT SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN ALLOWED IN THE FIRST PLACE. SCC REFUSED ANY EXTENSION, ANOTHER PANEL REFUSED THE NSW GOVTS PLAN TO OVERTURN THE SCC DECISION. WHY IS THIS EVEN ON THE AGENDA.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

{Empty}